

On Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

Jean Cardinal^{1,*}

Michael Hoffmann^{2,†}

Vincent Kusters^{2,†}

¹Département d'Informatique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, jcardin@ulb.ac.be

²Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, ETH Zürich, {hoffmann,kustersv}@inf.ethz.ch

Abstract

A set P of points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is n -universal, if every planar graph on n vertices admits a plane straight-line embedding on P . Answering a question by Kobourov, we show that there is no n -universal point set of size n , for any $n \geq 15$.

Introduction

We consider plane, straight-line embeddings of graphs in $\mathbb{R}^2$. In those embeddings, every vertex is represented by a point, every edge is represented by a line segment connecting its endpoints, and no two edges intersect except at a common endpoint.

An n -universal (or short *universal*) point set for planar graphs can accomodate every planar graph on n vertices. A longstanding open problem is to give precise bounds on the minimum number of points in an n -universal point set. The currently known asymptotic bounds are apart by a linear factor: On one hand, it is known that every planar graph can be embedded on a grid of size $n - 1 \times n - 1$ [5, 10]. On the lower bound side, it was shown by Kurowski [8] that at least $1.235n$ points are necessary, improving earlier bounds of $1.206n$ [4] and $n + \sqrt{n}$ [5].

The following, somewhat simpler question was asked ten years ago by Kobourov [6]: what is the largest value of n for which a universal point set of size n exists? We prove the following.

Theorem 1 *There is no n -universal point set of size n , for any $n \geq 15$.*

According to the Open Problem Project page [6], Kobourov proved there exist 14-universal point sets of size 14. Hence our bound is tight, and the answer to the above question is $n = 14$. Like all existing lower bound arguments, our proof is based on counting *planar 3-trees* (also called *stacked triangulations* sometimes), which we combine with a known lower bound on the rectilinear crossing number [1, 9].

Proof

A planar 3-tree is a triangulation obtained by iteratively splitting a triangular face into three new triangles with a degree-3 vertex, starting from a single triangle.

For every integer $n \geq 4$, we define a family $\mathcal{T}_n$ of labeled planar 3-trees on $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ as follows: (i) $\mathcal{T}_4$ contains only the complete graph, (ii) $\mathcal{T}_n$ contains every graph that can be constructed by making a new vertex n adjacent to the three vertices of one of the $2n - 4$ facial triangles of some $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$.

Lemma 2 *For $n \geq 5$, we have $|\mathcal{T}_n| = 4 \times 6 \times \dots \times (2n - 6)$.*

*Partially supported by the ESF EUROCORES programme EuroGIGA, CRP ComPoSe. The research was carried out while the first author was at ETH Zürich.

†Partially supported by the ESF EUROCORES programme EuroGIGA, CRP GraDR and the Swiss National Science Foundation, SNF Project 20GG21-134306.

Theorem 3 ([1, 9]) *Given a set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of $n \geq 4$ points in general position, more than $\frac{3}{8}\binom{n}{4}$ 4-tuples of P are in convex position.*

Lemma 4 *Less than $\frac{5}{8}n!$ graphs from $\mathcal{T}_n$ can be embedded on any set of $n \geq 4$ points in $\mathbb{R}^2$.*

Proof. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a set of n points and denote by $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n$ the set of trees that admit a planar straight-line embedding onto P . Consider a labeled planar 3-tree $T \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and let $\phi : [n] \rightarrow P$ denote a planar straight-line embedding of T . In this way we can associate to each tree $T \in \mathcal{F}_n$ a permutation $\phi_T = \phi(1), \dots, \phi(n)$ of the points in P .

Consider now a permutation $\pi = p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ of the points in P . With π we can associate a unique tree $T_\pi \in \mathcal{F}_n$ as follows: The first three points form a triangle $p_1p_2p_3$; each subsequent p_i , for $4 \leq i \leq n$, is located in a unique face f of the triangulation constructed so far, and so p_i is inserted as a degree three vertex, connected to the three vertices of f . If at some point during the construction the point p_i together with the vertices from f forms a convex quadrilateral, we cannot connect p_i to all vertices of f without crossing an edge of f . In such a case, the permutation π does not have an associated graph in $\mathcal{F}_n$. Otherwise, the above construction yields a plane drawing of some labeled planar 3-tree $T_\pi \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

Combining the two arguments, we obtain a bijective mapping between $\mathcal{F}_n$ and some subset Π of the symmetric group S_n . It follows that $|\mathcal{F}_n| = |\Pi| \leq |S_n| = n!$.

Next we can quantify the difference between Π and S_n using Theorem 3. Note that the general position assumption is no restriction: In case of collinearities, a slight perturbation of the point set yields a new point set that still admits all planar straight-line drawings of the original point set. Consider a permutation $\pi = p_1, \dots, p_n$ such that p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 form a convex quadrilateral. For any tree in $\mathcal{F}_n$ these first four vertices must form a complete graph K_4 , but there is no way obtain a plane straight line drawing of K_4 on four points in convex position. It follows that $\pi \in S_n \setminus \Pi$. We know from Theorem 3 that more than a fraction of $3/8$ of the 4-tuples of P are in convex position and therefore $|\Pi| < \frac{5}{8}n!$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Using Lemma 2, one can check that $|T_{15}| > \frac{5}{8}15!$ (the values are 980'995'276'800 and 817'296'480'000, respectively). Since the latter by Lemma 4 is an upper bound on the number of graphs of $\mathcal{T}_n$ that we can embed, not all graphs of $\mathcal{T}_n$ have an embedding. Hence not every planar 3-tree on 15 vertices can be embedded on any given set of 15 points. $\square$

The number of non-isomorphic planar 3-trees on n vertices was computed by Beineke and Pippert [2], and appears as sequence A027610 on Sloane's Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences. For $n = 15$, this number is 321'776. Hence we can also phrase our result in the language of simultaneous embeddings [3]. For a collection $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of planar graphs on n vertices, a *simultaneous embedding* without mapping for $\mathcal{G}$ is a collection of planar straight line embeddings $\phi_i : G_i \rightarrow P$ onto the same set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of n points.

Corollary 5 *There is a collection of 321'776 planar graphs that do not admit a simultaneous (plane straight-line) embedding without mapping.*

Denote by σ the maximum natural number such that every collection of σ planar graphs on the same number of vertices admit a simultaneous embedding without mapping. We have shown that $\sigma < 321'776$ and from Fáry-Wagner's Theorem [7, 11] we know that $\sigma \geq 1$. To our knowledge these are the best bounds currently known. It is a very interesting and probably challenging open problem to determine the exact value of σ .

References

- [1] Bernardo M. Ábrego and Silvia Fernández-Merchant. A lower bound for the rectilinear crossing number. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 21(3):293–300, 2005.
- [2] Lowell W. Beineke and Raymond E. Pippert. Enumerating dissectible polyhedra by their automorphism groups. *Canad. J. Math.*, 26:50–67, 1974.

- [3] Peter Brass, Eowyn Cenek, Cristian A. Duncan, Alon Efrat, Cesim Erten, Dan P. Ismailescu, Stephen G. Kobourov, Anna Lubiw, and Joseph S.B. Mitchell. On simultaneous planar graph embeddings. *Comput. Geom. Theory Appl.*, 36(2):117–130, 2007.
- [4] Marek Chrobak and Howard J. Karloff. A lower bound on the size of universal sets for planar graphs. *SIGACT News*, 20(4):83–86, 1989.
- [5] Hubert de Fraysseix, János Pach, and Richard Pollack. How to draw a planar graph on a grid. *Combinatorica*, 10(1):41–51, 1990.
- [6] Erik D. Demaine, Joseph S. B. Mitchell, and Joseph O’Rourke. The Open Problems Project, Problem #45. <http://maven.smith.edu/~orourke/TOPP/P45.html>.
- [7] István Fáry. On straight lines representation of planar graphs. *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged*, 11:229–233, 1948.
- [8] Maciej Kurowski. A 1.235 lower bound on the number of points needed to draw all n -vertex planar graphs. *Information Processing Letters*, 92(2):95–98, 2004.
- [9] László Lovász, Katalin Vesztergombi, Uli Wagner, and Emo Welzl. Convex quadrilaterals and k -sets. In János Pach, editor, *Towards a Theory of Geometric Graphs*, volume 324 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 139–148. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [10] Walter Schnyder. Embedding planar graphs on the grid. In *Proc. 1st ACM-SIAM Sympos. Discrete Algorithms*, pages 138–148, 1990.
- [11] Klaus Wagner. Bemerkungen zum Vierfarbenproblem. *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung*, 46:26–32, 1936.